Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/480,472	MCDONOUGH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ardin Marschel	1631
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Ardin Marschel(Exr.).	(3)	
(2) Charles Cappellari (Appl. Rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 February 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representa	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 39 etc.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Boddinghaus et al. o	combined with Suzuki et al	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)[□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: We discussed the 103 agreed to reconsider the rejection after receipt of a sum.	<u> rejn. based on the above co</u>	mb. of refs. Exr. Marschel
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where nationable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	o copy of the amendments th	r agreed would render the claims at would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICI INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, O FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	the last Office action has alre OR THE MAILING DATE OF IT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	ady been filed, APPLICANT IS THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required